

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/821,376	03/29/2001	David Kee Yang	8491	3463	
22	7590 08/30/2002 ER & GAMBLE COM	EXAMINER			
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DIVISION WINTON HILL TECHNICAL CENTER - BOX 161			SHERRER, CURTIS EDWARD		
6110 CENTER	R HILL AVENUE	ILL AVENUE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CINCINNATI	ГІ, ОН 45224		1761		
			DATE MAILED: 08/30/2002	2	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					Q4			
		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/821,3	76	YANG ET AL.				
•	Office Action Summary	Examine	7	Art Unit				
		Curtis E.		1761				
	The MAILING DATE of this communic	ation appears on th	e cover sheet	with the correspondence a	ddress			
Period fo	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO	R REPLY IS SET T	O EXPIRE 3	MONTH(S) FROM				
THE - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC nsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commun period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply within the set or extend	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evication. days, a reply within the statutory period will apply and will by statute cause the apply.	vent, however, may tutory minimum of t vill expire SIX (6) M olication to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered time ONTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on <u>29 <i>March</i> 200</u>	<u>1</u> .					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL.	b)⊠ This action is	s non-final.					
3)□ Disposit	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. sposition of Claims							
-	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the a	pplication.						
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are		onsideration.					
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ion and/or election	requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers							
	The specification is objected to by the		_					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:							
	Applicant may not request that any obje	ction to the drawing(s	s) be held in ab	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed] disapproved by the Exam	iner.			
	If approved, corrected drawings are req		office action.					
-	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner.						
-	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priority u	inder 35 U.S.	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority of							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
*	 Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation of the attached detailed Office action 	ational Bureau (PC	T Rule 17.2(a)) .	al Stage			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo				al application).			
;	a) The translation of the foreign land Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	guage provisional a	application ha	s been received.				
Attachme								
1) Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P ⁻ rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pa	ГО-948) per No(s) <u>5</u> .		iew Summary (PTO-413) Paper Ne of Informal Patent Application (F				

Application/Control Number: 09/821,376

Art Unit: 1761

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The term "about" in claim 1 and others is a relative term which renders the claim indefinite. The term "about" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/103

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 1761

Claims 1-7, 17, 18 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Product Alert (v. 28, n.11).

Product alert discusses the product "Cinagro Energy Plus Healthy Whole Body Tonic" that contains juices, green tea, several B vitamins, and agave nectar. The fact that the product is labeled with "Energy Plus" anticipates claim 18. The use of the fruit juices would add soluble fiber.

With regards to the claimed Glycemic Index value, it is first noted that the range of values is "about" 55 (or 45) or less and therefore the range is broader than 55. Secondly, because fruit juices are used and no mention of sucrose, glucose or maltose is mentioned is inherent that the Index is within applicants' claimed range.

The Office does not have the facilities for examining and comparing applicants' product with the product of the prior art in order to establish that the product of the prior art does not possess the same material structural and functional characteristics of the claimed product. In the absence of evidence to the contrary, the burden is upon the applicant to prove that the claimed inventions are functionally different than those taught by the prior art and to establish patentable differences. See *In re Best*, 562 F.2d 1252, 195 U.S.P.Q. 430 (CCPA 1977); *Ex parte Gray*, 10 U.S.P.Q.2d 1922, 1923 (BPAI).

In the alternative, if the prior art product does not anticipate the claimed Glycemic Index values, then it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify their product so as to obtain a beverage with the claimed broad Glycemic Index values.

Application/Control Number: 09/821,376

Art Unit: 1761

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 8-16 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Product Alert teaches that cited above but does not specifically teach the amounts of the ingredients, such as Vitamin B₆, flavanol, and bracer. It would have been obvious to those of ordinary skill in the art to use the claimed amounts of ingredients in the Cinagro Energy Plus Healthy Whole Body Tonic because those in the energy drink industry are constantly modifying the amounts of their ingredients to obtain the optimum recipe or formula for their product.

Finally, Applicants' attention is invited to *In re Levin*, 84 U.S.P.Q. 232 and the cases cited therein, which are considered in point in the fact situation of the instant case, and wherein the Court stated on page 234 as follows:

This court has taken the position that new recipes or formulas for cooking food which involve the addition or elimination of common ingredients, or for treating them in ways which differ from the former practice, do not amount to invention, merely because it is not disclosed that, in the constantly developing art of preparing food, no one else ever did the particular thing upon which the applicant asserts his right to a patent. In all such cases, there is nothing patentable unless the applicant by a proper showing further establishes a coaction or cooperative relationship between the selected ingredients which produces a new, unexpected, and useful function. *In re Benjamin D. White*, 17 C.C.P.A (Patents) 956, 39 F.2d 974, 5 U.S.P.Q. 267; *In re Mason et al.*, 33 C.C.P.A. (Patents) 1144, 156 F.2d 189, 70 U.S.P.Q. 221.

Application/Control Number: 09/821,376 Page 5

Art Unit: 1761

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Curtis E. Sherrer whose telephone number is 703-308-3847. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday, 8AM-6:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 703-308-3959. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3602 for regular communications and 703-305-3602 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Curtis E. Sherrer Primary Examiner

August 27, 2002